

Proof of Hopf-Thurston Conj: char $k = p > 0$ case.

Thm 1.3 X smooth proj / $\mathbb{C}$

Assume $\exists$ large rep $\rho: \pi_1(X) \rightarrow GL_n(K)$

for some field K of positive char p .

Then $\chi(X, \rho) \geq 0$, $\forall \rho \in \text{Perv}(\mathbb{C})$

Goal of today

Def $\rho: \pi_1(X) \rightarrow GL_n(K)$ is called large, if

$\forall$ closed irr subvar $Z \subset X$,

$\# \text{Im}(\pi_1(Z^{\text{norm}}) \rightarrow \pi_1(X) \xrightarrow{\rho} GL_n(K)) = \infty$

By global index formula

$$\chi(X, \rho) = CC(\rho) \cdot T_X^* X$$

where $CC(\rho) = \sum_i^{fin} n_i T_{Z_i}^* X$ is the characteristic cycle.

$\leadsto$ Proof of 1.3 reduces to show

Thm 1.5 $T_z^* X \cdot T_x^* X \geq 0$ in $CH_0(T^* X)$

$\forall Z \subset X$ closed irr subvar.

Here $T_z^* X := \overline{T_{Z_{\text{reg}}}^* X}^{T^* X}$ conormal var

Proof of Thm 1.5

$$\rho: \pi_1(X) \rightarrow GL_n(K) \text{ large}$$

$\Rightarrow$ Shafarevich morphism

$$sh_\rho: X \rightarrow Sh_\rho(X)$$

is the same as id_X .

[• [Dr, Cor 2.10] $\Rightarrow sh_\rho = \sigma_\rho$ for some reductive rep $\sigma: \pi_1(X) \rightarrow GL_n(K')$

$\uparrow$ Katzarkov-Eysenbud reduction map

$\downarrow$ for some K' of char p .

$\sigma_\rho = id_X$

Last talk

$\Rightarrow$

[DW Prop 2.10]

spectral

coverings

$\exists$ closed multivalued one form

η_σ st. $\forall Z \subset X$, irr closed subvar

$\eta_\sigma|_{Z_{reg}}$ is nontrivial.

So in particular, η_σ is nontrivial when restricted to the regular locus of any closed subvar to come, e.g. the Z_i 's.

$\Rightarrow$
[DW Cor 3.10]

$$T_Z^* X \cdot T_X^* X = \sum_{\text{Finite}} \lambda_i T_{Z_i}^* X \cdot T_X^* X$$

for some $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$, $Z_i \subsetneq Z$ closed.

$\Rightarrow$
Induction on $\dim Z_i$

Can assume all Z_i are pts.

$$\Rightarrow \quad T_{Z_i}^* X \cdot T_X^* X = 1 \quad T_{Z_i}^* X \cdot T_X^* X > 0$$

□

Character variety: recollection.

Γ = f.g. group

$R(\Gamma, n)$ = affine $\mathbb{Z}$ -scheme of f.type representing
 n -dim'l rep of Γ "of char 0" (see next page)
i.e. $R(\Gamma, n)(A) = \text{Hom}_{\text{Gp}}(\Gamma, \text{GL}_n(A))$

Define $R(\Gamma, n)_{\mathbb{F}_p} := R(\Gamma, n) \times_{\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}} \text{Spec } \mathbb{F}_p$

$\hookrightarrow \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_p) \curvearrowright R(\Gamma, n)_{\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}}$ by conjugation

$\hookrightarrow$ take affine GIT quotient $R(\Gamma, n)_{\mathbb{F}_p} \rightarrow M_B(\Gamma, n)_{\mathbb{F}_p} := R(\Gamma, n)_{\mathbb{F}_p} // \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_p)$
affine $\mathbb{F}_p$ -scheme of fin type

Properties [DT, Prop 2.2] $K = \bar{K}$ char $K = p$. Then

- $[p^{\text{ss}}] = [p]$, $\forall p: \Gamma \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(K)$
- $\forall x \in M_B$, $\exists$ semisimple rep $p: \Gamma \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(K)$
s.t. $x = [p]$.
- Given two ^{semisimple} reps $p: \Gamma \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(K)$ & $p': \Gamma \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(K)$
s.t. $[p] = [p']$, then p & p' are conjugate.

There was an discussion on the representation variety $R(\Gamma, n)$ concerning the characteristic of the moduli problem it represents.

[Maret, notes on character varieties, § 2.1]

Here is a concrete construction :

- For any Lie group G (e.g. $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$, $GL_n(\mathbb{R})$)
any f.g. group $\Gamma = \langle \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m \rangle$,

$$X := \{ (\phi(\gamma_1), \dots, \phi(\gamma_m)) \in G^m \mid \phi \in \underset{\text{Grp}}{\text{Hom}}(\Gamma, G) \} \subset G^m$$

is homeomorphic to $\underset{\substack{\uparrow \text{Grp} \\ \text{Compact open top.}}}{\text{Hom}}(\Gamma, G)$ $\overset{\text{Lie grp top}}{\text{canonically}}$.

In particular : X is independent of the choice of $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m$.

- If G is a $\mathbb{R}$ - or $\mathbb{C}$ -algebraic group, then X
hence $\underset{\text{Grp}}{\text{Hom}}(\Gamma, G)$, is an algebraic subset of G^m .
- In fact, this is an affine $\mathbb{Z}$ -scheme of fin type.
We denote it by $R(\Gamma, n)$.

Boundedness & finiteness

Lem $\Gamma = \text{f.g. group}$. K nonarch loc. field.

$\rho_1, \rho_2: \Gamma \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(\bar{K})$ two reps s.t. ρ_1^s, ρ_2^{ss}
are conjugate.

Then ρ_1 is bounded $\Leftrightarrow \rho_2$ is bounded.

Def. $\rho: \Gamma \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(K)$ K local field. Then
 ρ is called bounded, if $\rho(\Gamma)$ is contained
in a maximal compact subgroup of $\text{GL}_n(K)$
up to conjugation, equal to $\text{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_K)$.

Lem $\Gamma = \text{f.g. group}$ $K = \bar{K}$, char p .

Then $\rho(\Gamma)$ is finite $\Leftrightarrow \rho^{ss}(\Gamma)$ is finite.

How to pass from "bounded" to "finite"?

[DT, Lem 2.3 + 2.4] $M \subset M_B := M_B(\Gamma, n)_{\mathbb{F}_p}$.
constructible subset.

If $\forall$ reductive $\Gamma: \Gamma \rightarrow GL_n(k)$ with
 k local field of char p

and $[\Gamma] \in M(k)$, $\pi(\Gamma)$ is bounded

then $\pi(\Gamma)$ is actually finite.

Moreover: $\forall \rho: \Gamma \rightarrow GL_n(L)$, L any field
of char $p > 0$,
 $[\rho] \in M(L)$, then $\rho(\Gamma)$ is also finite

and M is actually zero-dimensional.

Sketch First show M zero-dimensional

(In order to do this:

$$\pi: R(\Gamma, n)_{\mathbb{F}_p} \longrightarrow M_B$$

Take $T \subset \pi^{-1}(M)$ any irr affine defined $\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}$
and show that $\pi(T)$ is a pt.

✓ What we will use.

Cor [DT, Lem 2.5]

$\varphi: \Gamma' \rightarrow \Gamma$ gp morphism of f.g. groups.

$M \in M_B(\Gamma, n)_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ constructible.

Then TFAE

(1) $\forall$ reductive rep $\tau: \Gamma \rightarrow GL_n(K)$

where K is local field of char $p > 0$.
s.t. $[\tau] \in M(K)$, $\tau(\varphi(\Gamma'))$ is bounded

(2) $\forall$ rep $\rho: \Gamma \rightarrow GL_n(L)$

where L is any field of char $p > 0$.
s.t. $[\rho] \in M(L)$, $\rho(\varphi(\Gamma'))$ is finite.

Katzarkov-Eysiedieux reduction map.

[DY, Def 1.1] Def X normal quasi-projective variety / $\mathbb{C}$.

$$\rho: \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(K), K = \text{non arch local field}$$

The Katzarkov-Eysiedieux reduction map ^(KE map) is a holomorphic map
$$s_\rho: X \rightarrow S_\rho$$

where

- S_ρ is normal & quasi-proj
- s_ρ is dominant & has conn general fibers
- $\forall Z \subset X$ connected, Z or closed, TFAE
 - Ⓐ $s_\rho(Z)$ is a point.
 - Ⓑ $\rho(\pi_1(Z))$ is bounded
 - Ⓒ $\forall$ irreducible components $Z_0 \subset Z$,
 $\rho(\pi_1(Z_0^{\text{norm}}))$ is bounded

↑
normalization

"UP"
of
KE
map

→ Uniqueness holds only when X is projective

(bc. s_ρ being only dominant is not enough to rule out the possibility of further composing an open immersion)

Katzarkov-Eysiedieux reduction map.

[DY, Def 1.1] Def X normal quasi-projective variety $/\mathbb{C}$.

$$M \subset M_B(\mathbb{I}, X, n)_{\mathbb{C}^p}$$

subset

The ^{simultaneous} Katzarkov-Eysiedieux reduction map ^(simul. KE map) is a

holomorphic map

$$s_M : X \rightarrow S_M$$

where

- S_M is normal & quasi-projective
- s_M is dominant & has conn (gen) fibers.
- $\forall Z \subset X$ connected, Zar closed,

$$s_M(Z) \text{ is a point} \iff \forall \tau \in M(K), \tau \text{ reductive} \\ s_\tau(Z) \text{ is a point,}$$

S normal, $X \rightarrow S$ proper, then fibers are
gen conn $\iff$ conn

Construction of KE reduction map for reduced rep: Blackbox.

[CDY, Thm H] X normal, quasi-projective.

$\rho: \pi_1(X) \rightarrow GL_n(K)$. **reductive** rep w/ K nonarch.
local field.

Then KE reduction map exists.

[DW, Prop 2.10] Moreover, if X projective

Then 1) s_ρ is proper surj, &
 S_ρ is normal proj

2) $\exists$ closed multivalued 1-form η_ρ
s.t. $\forall Z \subset X$ irr closed,

(a) $\Leftrightarrow$ (b) $\Leftrightarrow$ (c) are equivalent to

(d) $\eta_\rho|_{Z_{\text{reg}}}$ is trivial.

In particular: if $\rho(\pi_1(X))$ is unbounded,

then η_ρ is nontrivial.

$\rightarrow$ Last talk

Cor. X ^{normal} (smooth) quasi-proj.

$P: \pi_1(X) \rightarrow GL_n(K)$ $K =$ nonarch loc. field.

Then KE map exists.

Sketch Consider $P_K: \pi_1(X) \rightarrow GL_n(K) \hookrightarrow P_K^{ss}$

$\hookrightarrow P_K^{ss}$ comes from $P_L^{ss}: \pi_1(X) \rightarrow GL_n(L)$

L/K finite ext.

$\hookrightarrow$ KE map of P_L^{ss} does the job.

Cor $\forall M \subset M_B := M_B(\pi_1(X), n)_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ subset,
the simultaneous KE map of M exists.

Sketch: simultaneous Stein factorization
always exist.

[DYK, 1.28]

§ Shafarevich morphism

Def X normal quasi-projective variety / $\mathbb{C}$.

[DT, Thm A]
[DW, 2.12] $\rho: \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(K)$

The Shafarevich morphism is a holomorphic map

$$sh_\rho: X \longrightarrow Sh_\rho(X)$$

where

- $Sh_\rho(X)$ is normal & g -proj
- sh_ρ is dom. nat & conn gen fibers

- "UP"
- $\forall Z \subset X$ connected, Zar closed, TFAE
 - ① $sh_\rho(Z)$ is a pt
 - ② $\rho(\pi_1(Z))$ is finite
 - ③ $\forall$ irreducible components $Z_0 \subset Z$,
 $\rho(\pi_1(Z_0^{norm}))$ is finite.

Rmk if ρ is large, then sh_ρ is an isom

nonunique when X is only g sh_ρ exist
quasi-projective and
not projective

§ Shafarevich morphism

Def X normal quasi-projective variety $/\mathbb{C}$.

[DY, Thm 2.7]

[DW, Def 2.12 (iii)]

$$M \subset M_B$$

simultaneous

The Shafarevich morphism is a holomorphic map

$$sh_M: X \longrightarrow Sh_M(X)$$

where

- $Sh_M(X)$ is normal & g.p.n.j
- sh_M is dominant & conn gen fibers.
- $\forall Z \subset X$ connected, Zar closed, TFAE

① $sh_M(Z)$ is a point.

② $P(\pi_1(Z))$ is finite. $\forall P \in M(K)$

③ $\forall$ irreducible components $Z_0 \subset Z$,

$P^{ss}(\pi_1(Z_0^{norm}))$ finite. $\forall P \in M(K)$.

Construction of the Shafarevich morphism.

[DT, Thm 2.9] X normal quasi-proj.

$\rho: \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(K)$, K any field
char p .

Then the Shafarevich map exists.

Pf. Outline

Step 1 Construct simultaneous Shafarevich map sh_M for $M \subset M_B$ Zariski closed.
in the case X smooth.

Step 2 For a chosen ρ , one associate with a M_ρ , and sh_{M_ρ} does the job.
Still assuming X smooth.

Step 3 For normal X , reduce to smooth case by resolution of singularities.

Complements after the talk

Example (Shafarevich morphism ; example by Thomas)

X smooth, quasi-projective / $\mathbb{C}$.

Suppose $\rho: \pi_1(X) \rightarrow GL_n(K)$ is large, K any field of char $p > 0$.

$p \in X$, $\text{codim}_{\mathbb{C}}(p; X) \geq 2 \Rightarrow d := \dim X \geq 2$

$$Y = \text{Bl}_p X \rightarrow X$$

$\leadsto$ exceptional divisor $E \simeq \mathbb{P}^{d-1}$

$$\Rightarrow \pi_1(E) = 0 \Rightarrow \rho(\pi_1(E)) = 0$$

Hence the Shafarevich map $sh_p: Y \rightarrow sh_p(Y)$ of Y factors through X .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \\ & \searrow & \nearrow \\ & X & \end{array}$$

On the other hand, p large $\Rightarrow sh_X$ is iso.

$\Rightarrow Y \rightarrow X$ is the Shafarevich map of Y .